EXHIBIT A



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICESBUREAU OF PRIMARY HEALTH CARE

Public Health Service

JUN 20 1996

Health Resources and Services Administration Bethesda MD 20814

Ms. Elisabeth D. Babcock Executive Director Lynn Community Health 269 Union Street Lynn, Massachusetts 01901

Reference: Malpractice Liability Coverage

The Bureau of Primary Health Care (BPHC), in accordance with Section 224(h) of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, 42 U.S.C. 233(h) as amended by the Federally Supported Health Centers Assistance Act of 1995 (Pub.L. 104-73), deems the above named entity to be an employee of the Federal Government, effective June 23, 1996, for the purposes of Section 224. Section 224(a) provides liability protection under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) for damage for personal injury, including death, resulting from the performance of medical, surgical, dental, and related functions and is exclusive of any other civil action or proceeding. This "FTCA coverage" is applicable to deemed entities and their including officers, governing board members, employees, and contractors who are physicians or other licensed or certified health care practitioners working full-time (minimum 32.5 hours per week) or part-time providing family practice, general internal medicine, general pediatrics, or obstetrical/gynecological services.

Section 224 further provides that hospital admitting privileges cannot be denied on the basis of having malpractice coverage under the FTCA, if a covered health care professional meets the appropriate professional qualifications, and agrees to abide by the hospital bylaws and the rules, and regulations of the medical staff. Moreover, managed care plans are required to accept FTCA as meeting whatever malpractice insurance coverage requirements such plans may require of contracting providers. Hospitals and managed care plans that fail to comply shall be in jeopardy of losing Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements.

In addition, FTCA coverage is comparable to an "occurrence" policy without a monetary cap. Therefore, any coverage limits that may be mandated by other organizations are met. For example, a \$1.0 million each claim/\$3.0 million aggregate occurrence is met since FTCA would, as appropriate, provide for the payment to a plaintiff of any damages awarded as a result of a judgment or a settlement approved by the Attorney General, sums in excess of this amount.

For further information, please contact Robin Lawrence, D.D.S., Regional FTCA Coordinator, HRSA Field Office, Boston, Massachusetts at (617) 565-1426.

Sincerely yours

Marilyn H. Gaston, M.D. Assistant Surgeon General

n:___-

Page 3 - Ms. Elisabeth D. Babcock

Furthermore, BPHC will examine your request for charging to your grant the cost of "tail" insurance, if your previous malpractice coverage was for claims made, rather than occurrences. Here too, we will consider the extent to which the cost of tail insurance exceeds the cost of the present malpractice insurance, in deciding which form of insurance will be an allowable expense under the grant.

The effective date of eligibility for FTCA malpractice coverage is March 15, 1994. FTCA coverage is only applicable to acts or omissions occurring after this effective date and before January 1, 1996, for the scope of activities covered by the grant funded project.

For further information, please contact Mr. Joel Bostrom, FTCA Coordinator, Region I, (617) 565-1470.

Sincerely yours,

Marilyn H. Gaston, M.D. Assistant Surgeon General

Director

Page 2 - Ms. Elisabeth D. Babcock

providers of obstetrical services and whose individual medical malpractice liability insurance coverage does not extend to services performed for this grantee. Subrecipients listed as eligible for FTCA coverage by the grantee will be deemed eligible only for carrying out those grant-related activities designated as being within the scope of their contract.

Accordingly, the Attorney General, through the DOJ, has the responsibility for the defense of the individual and/or grantee for malpractice claims approved for FTCA coverage. Please note that the applicability of the Act to a particular claim or case will depend upon the determination or certification, as appropriate, by the Attorney General that the individual or grantee is covered by the Act and was acting within the scope of employment. Such determination or certification is subject to judicial review. If the claim is subject to FTCA coverage, it must be reviewed initially by the PHS through an administrative claims process. Your cooperation in the handling of the claim (including providing the relevant medical records) will be necessary.

Section 224 is further amended by providing that hospital admitting privileges cannot be denied if a covered health professional meets the appropriate professional qualifications, and agrees to abide by the hospital bylaws and the rules, and regulations of the medical staff. These individuals are thus afforded the same treatment as members of the National Health Service Corps with respect to hospital admitting privileges. Hospitals that fail to comply shall be in jeopardy of losing Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements.

The BPHC will be evaluating the implications of FTCA coverage for the payment of malpractice insurance costs under the terms of your grant. As a general rule, BPHC would not allow further charges to the grant for malpractice insurance for services subject to FTCA coverage. However, BPHC recognizes that some insurable risks will remain after the effective date of eligibility for FTCA coverage, including risks covered by malpractice insurance for non-covered providers. These remaining risks may require the purchase of private insurance by the grantee. Furthermore, it is expected that grantees will purchase "tail" insurance coverage for current providers with claims made instead of occurrences policies. Consequently, a grantee that has been deemed eligible for FTCA coverage should not cancel its current private malpractice insurance policies until these "gap" coverage requirements have been identified and policies secured.

The BPHC will consider allowing the grantee to continue to purchase its current malpractice insurance where the grantee can demonstrate that: (1) this is necessary to maintain the current scope of services and patient care activities and (2) the cost of such insurance is less than the cost of the insurable risks that remain after FTCA coverage is in effect, i.e., gap coverage.



Rockville MD 20857



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES BUREAU OF PRIMARY HEALTH CARE

Health Resources and Services Administration

MAR 15 1994

Ms. Elisabeth D. Babcock
Executive Director
Lynn Community Health Center
269 Union Street
Lynn, Massachusettes 01901

Dear Ms. Babcock:

The Bureau of Primary Health Care (BPHC), in accordance with Section 224(h) of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, 42 U.S.C. 233(h) as added by the Federally Supported Health Centers Assistance Act of 1992 (P.L. 102-501), deems the above named entity to be an employee of the Federal Government for the purposes of Section 224. Under Section 224(a), the remedy against the United States provided under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) for medical and related functions performed by commissioned officers or employees of the PHS while acting within the scope of office or employment, shall be exclusive of any other civil action or proceeding. P.L. 102-501 extends this "FTCA coverage" to the grantees that have been deemed covered under Section 224(h) and certain of their officers, employees, and contractors, as specified below.

This action is based on the assurances provided on March 2, 1993, with regard to: (1) implementation of appropriate policies and procedures to reduce the risk of malpractice; (2) implementation of a system whereby professional credentials, references, claims history, fitness, professional review organization findings, and licensure status of its health professionals are reviewed and verified; (3) cooperation with the Department of Justice (DOJ) in the defense of claims (including access to all pertinent documents and patient information and records) and actions to assure against claims in the future; and (4) cooperation with the DOJ in providing information related to previous malpractice claims history.

All officers, employees, and full-time contractors (minimum 32.5 hours per week) of this grantee who are physicians or other licensed or certified health care practitioners and who are providing services under the scope of activities covered by the project funded through its Section 329 (migrant health centers), Section 330 (community health centers), Section 340 (health services for the homeless), or Section 340A (health services for residents of public housing) grant(s) are also deemed to be employees of the Federal Government for the purposes of Section 224, as are part-time contractors who are licensed or certified

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JACOB LOFARO, PPA NICOLE LOFARO,

Plaintiffs,

V.

Civil Action No.

DEBORAH BRADLEY, LOUIS LAZ, and ASSOCIATES IN OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY,

Defendants.

Formerly: Essex County
Superior Court

Civil Action No.

03-536

CERTIFICATION OF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

I, Michael J. Sullivan, United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2679 (d)(2) and 42 U.S.C. § 233(g), and by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Appendix to 28 C.F.R. § 15.3, hereby certify that the defendant, Louis Laz, M.D., was acting within the scope of his employment as an employee of the United States at the time of the conduct alleged in the complaint in the above-captioned action.

Dated: 6-8-04

Ву:

Michae / J. Sullivan United States Attorney District of Massachusetts